

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/925,881 | 08/06/2001 | Brian K. Balzum | 1001.1403101 | 6196 |
| 28075 | 7590 04/20/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC | | | NGUYEN, VI X | |
| 1221 NICOLI SUITE 800 | 221 NICOLLET AVENUE UITE 800 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420 | | | 3731 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004 | 4 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 3 | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/925,881 | BALZUM ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Victor X Nguyen | 3731 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a. cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 February 2004. | | | | | | |
| , - | | | | | | |
| , ··· | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 03 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 25 and 30-34 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 25 and 30-34 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | wn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination. | cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attackment/c) | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other: | / (PTO-413) vate Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/925,881

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/27/2004 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 25, 30-31 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chan (U.S. 5,234,002).

3. Chan discloses in figure 2, col. 3, lines 1-35 and lines 50-65, a threaded connection system is suitable for use in connecting extension wires and guidewire having the limitations of claims 25 and 34, including: a first wire (10) includes a body member. A female threaded member (18) disposes within the first end of the body member. A second wire (11) includes a second body member (17). An engagement structure (15) adapts to threadingly engaging the female thread of the first wire. The engagement structure is a male thread disposed about the body member of the second wire; and wherein a portion of the male thread of the first wire is adapted to deform when the male thread threadingly engages the female thread of the first wire (see col. 3, lines 50-65). Regarding the intended use of the male thread of the first wire is

Application/Control Number: 09/925,881

Art Unit: 3731

adapted to deform, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In the instant case, the male thread of Chan would have been capable of performing the use as claimed. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

4. Regarding claims 30-31, Chan discloses in figure 2, col. 3, lines 1-35 and lines 50-65, a threaded connection system is suitable for use in connecting extension wires and guidewire having the limitations of claims 25 and 34, including: a first wire (10) includes a body member. A female threaded member (18) disposes within the first end of the body member. A second wire (11) includes a second body member (17). An engagement structure (15) adapts to threadingly engaging the female thread of the first wire. The engagement structure is a male thread disposed about the body member of the second wire; and wherein a portion of the male thread of the first wire is adapted to be more deformable when the male thread threadingly engages the female thread of the first wire. Furthermore, the portion of the male thread includes two portions that are different from one another (see col. 3, lines 50-65). Regarding the intended use of the male thread of the first wire is adapted to be more deformable, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Art Unit: 3731

In the instant case, the male thread of Chan would have been capable of performing the use as claimed. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chan. (U.S.5,234,002).

Regarding claims 32-33, Chan discloses substantially limitations as recited in the claims. The system of Chan could be made a second portion of the male thread that comprises a different thread pitch or a different thread size. It has been held that changes in size only require routine skill in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the thread of chan device with a different thread pitch and a different thread size in order to have an effectively grip in connecting a guidewire and an extension wire.

Response to Amendment

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 25, 30 and 34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant is asked to please refer to the

Application/Control Number: 09/925,881

Art Unit: 3731

modified prior art rejection above wherein examiner addresses applicant's concerns regarding prior art rejections. For example, a first wire (10) includes a body member. A female threaded member (18) disposes within the first end of the body member. A second wire (11) includes a second body member (17). An engagement structure (15) adapts to threadingly engaging the female thread of the first wire. The engagement structure is a male thread disposed about the body member of the second wire; and wherein a portion of the male thread of the first wire is adapted to deform when the male thread threadingly engages the female thread of the first wire (see col. 3, lines 50-65). Regarding the intended use of the male thread of the first wire is adapted to deform, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In the instant case, the male thread of Chan would have been capable of performing the use as claimed. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,365,942 to Shank U.S. Pat. No. 6,436,056 to Wang

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor X Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-4898. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4.30 P.M).

Art Unit: 3731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Milano can be reached on (703) 308-2496. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Victor X Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 3731

Vn VJ April 7, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700